

Excellence in Management & Partnership: A summary for residents

How councils can put the ALMO advantage to work in the new regulatory environment



The NFA and CWAG have published a guide for councils who manage their housing stock through an arm's length management organisation – an ALMO. The guide **explains how social housing management is changing** as a result of the Grenfell Tower Fire. It is intended to help councils and their ALMOs be sure that they are complying with those changes and listening carefully to what tenants have to say about how their homes and communities are managed.

The guide is based on the experience of members of the NFA and CWAG. Our researchers asked members' councils, housing managers and residents for examples from their own experience of checking whether their services are of good quality and meet the needs of tenants.

The key points of the guide are explained here. This summary also suggests questions that tenants and their ALMOs might ask to help ensure good services are being delivered.

What is changing?

Social Housing White Paper

The Grenfell Tower Fire in June 2017 led to a fundamental shift in the government's view of how social housing should be regulated.

After asking for the views of residents, landlords and many others involved in the management of England's council-owned and housing association-run housing, the government published **The Charter for Social Housing Residents: Social Housing White Paper** in November 2020.

It aims to reset the relationship between tenants and landlords. It makes it clear that tenants must feel safe in their home; they must have good quality homes and neighbourhoods; they must be listened to; and their opinions must influence the decisions that landlords make about how their homes and communities are managed.

To achieve these goals, changes are on the way.

Changes to social housing regulation

The regulation of social housing is changing. How well councils and housing associations manage their properties is overseen by a government-appointed body, the **Regulator of Social Housing** (RSH). At the moment, the RSH only intervenes when something goes seriously wrong. Within the next few years, the RSH will start to monitor the performance of social landlords regularly.

The RSH will use these methods to gather evidence about how well landlords are doing their work:

Proof of genuine effort to listen to tenants. The RSH will ask landlords show how they listen to residents, and how they use the information residents give them when services are planned or assessed.

Tenant satisfaction measures (TSMs). TSMs will be published each year, based on how r esidents rate the service they have had from their landlord. The results will be a quick-reference guide to how a landlord's performance compares with previous years and with the performance of other housing providers. The Regulator set out its ideas about what should be included in the TSMs in December 2021.

Consumer Standards review. Landlords must meet minimum standards of quality and health & safety in the work they do, and these are set out in the **Consumer Standards.** However, under the current rules, it can be difficult to know exactly which person or organisation is responsible for making sure these standards are met. The RSH will order all social housing providers to name the person or people who will take on these responsibilities.

Regular inspections: Over the next few years, the Regulator will begin to inspect social landlords even if there have been no specific complaints about them if there are other reasons to be worried about their work. Inspections may be prompted, for instance, by information from landlords themselves, from the **Housing Ombudsman** and from other sources such as media reports.

Councils are responsible for council housing: If a council has created an ALMO to look after its housing, the council is still the landlord and has the legal responsibility to fulfil all the promises made in The Charter for Social Housing Residents. This means councils must be able to show the Regulator that their ALMO is well run and delivers good quality and safe services to tenants.

Safety – buildings and fire risk

The Grenfell Tower fire has also prompted big changes to the law on building and fire safety. Parliament is discussing a new **building safety bill** and this is expected to be passed in 2022; various parts of the act will then become law in stages between 2022 and early 2024. The new **Fire Safety Act** was passed in 2021.

The expected changes include:

- The creation of a new official regulator, the Building Safety Regulator, whose office will be part of the Health and Safety Executive
- A requirement that landlords actively seek out tenants' thoughts and observations about the safety of their homes
- ▲ A unique fire safety assessment for every high-risk building over 18 metres high that takes account of each building's specific construction and layout.

- Councils that have high-risk buildings managed by an ALMO must appoint an Accountable
 Person, based at the council, who is legally responsible for the safety of those buildings.
- Councils that have high-risk buildings managed by an ALMO can appoint a Building Safety
 Manager, most likely based at the ALMO, to help the Accountable Person do their job.

What do these changes mean for councils with ALMOs?

These changes cover all social housing providers whether they are councils, housing associations or ALMOs.

Residents have had a say in the running of ALMOs since they were first created in the early 2000s. The ALMO structure also calls for a board – many of which include tenant representatives – to oversee its work independently of council or ALMO staff.

Councils with ALMOs should already have:

- regular meetings between the council and ALMO to discuss planned investment and services for five years ahead;
- regular monitoring of data such as rent arrears, repairs, complaints and overall service levels that help show where performance could be better;
- external checks to confirm the accuracy and honesty of data and whether all laws and regulations are complied with;
- regular review of ALMO board papers and other reports by the council.

Even so, these changes give councils and ALMOs an excellent opportunity to take a good look at everything they do and make sure they are meeting the needs of local authority tenants.

As they researched this guide, our researchers drew up a list of questions that council and ALMOs can ask themselves and each other as they check whether their work will meet the high standards set by The Charter for Social Housing Residents.

The checklist asks questions such as – does the local authority have sufficient proof that their ALMO is well-run and a good landlord? If the Regulator asked to see this proof, would it be convincing? How can they show that the tenant voice is effectively heard?

What will the Regulator of Social Housing be looking for?

The Regulator of Social Housing will ask for evidence that shows the ALMO is well-run. This means:

Risk

The ALMO understands the risks involved in managing homes on behalf of the council; this means every kind of risk from financial to the wellbeing and safety of residents and staff. The ALMO manages these risks well.

Culture

The ALMO has the right 'culture': so, for instance, staff treat tenants with respect; staff and tenants feel able to point out what's gone wrong; and when things go wrong, the ALMO is keen to put things right.

Performance

The ALMO performs well. It gives tenants services that are good quality and safe. It checks its performance regularly; poor performance is challenged and improved.

Governance

Boards ask the right questions,

challenge the ALMO, make

sure tenant voices are heard.

Quality

The ALMO ensures that all homes are safe and secure and are maintained to the Decent Homes Standard.

Listening to tenants

Tenants are listened to and what they say shapes services. When someone complains, the complaint is listened to and learned from. Tenant feedback makes change happen, and tenants are told how the information they offered made a difference.

The Regulator will consider the performance of all landlords. However, organisations will be looked at more closely if, for example, a lot of complaints have been made to the Housing Ombudsman about them, or if they are returning poor tenant satisfaction measure results, or if media reports single them out for bad customer service.

The Regulator has set out its thinking in **Reshaping Consumer Regulation**, Our principles and approach.

Complaints are mentioned often in the Social Housing White Paper. Landlords are asked to think about how they listen to tenants and what they do with the information tenants give them.

While the Regulator for Social Housing checks that landlords follow the rules, specific complaints about landlords are considered by the **Housing Ombudsman**. This service is set up by law to look at complaints about the housing organisations that are registered with it, and this includes all social housing and some private rented sector landlords.

The Ombudsman service is responding to the changes in social housing regulation by giving landlords clear guidance about what is expected of them when they receive a complaint. The Ombudsman is telling landlords to make sure that tenants can easily find out how to make a complaint and check that it has been taken seriously.

As part of this, all social landlords must now assess themselves against the **Housing Ombudsman Complaints Handling Code.** They must publish the results of the assessment on their website and it should be easy to find. Tenants can look at it and question its accuracy; and if the assessment says the landlord needs to improve in some ways, they can ask how that is being done and how long it will take.

The Housing Ombudsman's webpage has **useful resources for tenants.** This guide, **Effective Involvement of Governing Bodies,** sets out useful questions that tenants could ask when scrutinising their landlord's policies, procedures and perfrmance.

The tenant voice

Councils and ALMOs need to think about how they involve tenants in shaping and checking services. Do they:

- include tenants in the formal governance structures that ALMOs use, including boards, subcommittees, scrutiny panels and other formal bodies?
- ▲ organise wider 'tenant voice' activities to seek feedback and improve services?
- make it simple for tenants to complain and get their complaints listened to and the issues resolved?
- learn from complaints to make services better?
- make sure the ALMO's internal culture fosters respect towards tenants?
- make it clear that tenants can raise concerns with the local authority if they feel their ALMO is not listening?

While looking at the relationship between ALMOs and councils, researchers consulted the NFA Tenant Advisory Panel which is made up of involved ALMO tenants. Asked to list the most important principles that councils and ALMOs should follow to work successfully alongside tenants, the panel came up with six key messages:

- The importance of the feedback loop: It is crucial that tenants are told how their work has been used to make changes and improvements because it makes them feel heard and valued.
- Recognition of the skills and importance of tenants in governance structures: Residents bring a huge amount to ALMO boards and governance structures, and their contribution must be recognised.
- Training: Resident engagement must be properly funded to be effective and this should include a training budget for tenants who want to be involved. The panel also stressed that there should be a good range of choice in the ways that tenants can get involved and how much commitment is asked for.
- The importance of checking performance statistics and numbers against the lived experience of residents: Performance indicators and statistics only give part of the picture; councils and ALMOs should set data alongside the lived experience of residents.
- **Scrutiny:** An independent and trusted resident scrutiny panel can help organisations improve and should be seen by ALMOs as a 'critical friend' offering valuable insight.
- Diversity of engagement structures and boards: A good ALMO board should represent the community it works for. Governance structures and wider engagement work must also be inclusive and representative.

What questions can tenants ask to help their ALMO respond to the Social Housing White Paper?

The NFA and CWAG have developed a **checklist** of 34 questions that local authority and ALMO officers can use to help shape conversations to check that the ALMO is fit for purpose. These questions cover areas such as governance, performance monitoring, complaints, and formal structures. Involved tenants will also find them useful as they scrutinise the work of their ALMO, or as part of their work on boards, sub-committees or panels.

The summary below picks out some key questions that tenants may want to discuss/raise with their landlords.

Headline	General questions	More detailed information
What is the culture of the ALMO?	Do staff respect and listen to all residents? Do all residents feel heard? Are all residents able to raise concerns, make suggestions and complaints? Is the ALMO inclusive and representative?	How do we know? Does my experience of the ALMO match the conversations I have with other tenants? Does the ALMO look at where it isn't getting feedback? – for example to identify groups or people who don't feel able to complain or feedback? What are they doing about this? What does 'being inclusive' look like for the ALMO and what are they doing to make sure they are inclusive and representative?
Does the voice of residents effectively shape services within the ALMO?	 How does the ALMO use resident feedback to make decisions about services? And how do they communicate this to residents? Does the ALMO let residents know when/ if they do not act on feedback, and the reasons why? Do residents feel that their involvement is worthwhile? Is the resident voice effectively heard within the ALMO board and governance structures? Are resident engagement structures properly funded? Is training offered for residents? Are there different entry points? Is there an independent scrutiny function that works? 	How does the ALMO demonstrate that its methods are working? What is the evidence for how resident feedback has shaped services? How is this measured? What does the ALMO do with the information? What do other similar-sized ALMOs or HAs/councils do?

Headline	General questions	More detailed information
Complaints handling	 Has the ALMO completed its mandatory self-assessment against the Housing Ombudsman's Complaints Handling Code, and published this on their website? If a resident wants to make a complaint, can they find out how to do this – and do it – quickly? Does this include easy-to-find information about the Housing Ombudsman? Are residents involved in reviewing the organisation's complaints policy and procedure? What is the ALMO's performance on complaints? How does the ALMO learn from complaints? Is it clear how residents can go to the local authority if they have concerns about the ALMO? 	 What areas of improvement did it identify? How is that being addressed? Is it easy to find the self- assessment? Is it easy to do this via the ALMO website for example? How? Do they make a difference? How is it reported? Is it easy for tenants to read and feedback on? Is there a named person or route to go through?
A review against the Consumer Standards, Social Housing White Paper and other legislation	Has the ALMO completed a review against the current Consumer Standards? Has the ALMO reviewed itself against the Social Housing White Paper and other legislation?	What did the review find? Is there an improvement plan? Did this include a review of how the resident voice shapes all the services the ALMO delivers? Does the ALMO have a clear plan to get ready for the new regulatory regime?
Are residents assured that their homes are safe?	Has the resident voice shaped the approach to building safety? Is there a resident engagement strategy for applicable high-risk buildings? Do residents know how to raise safety concerns? And have assurance that these will be resolved quickly?	How? Is it working and how is it reviewed and updated regularly? What is the evidence for this?

Useful links

Housing Ombudsman: www.housing-ombudsman.org.uk

Regulator of Social Housing: https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/regulator-of-social-housing

Tpas – Tenant engagement experts: https://www.tpas.org.uk

NFA: www.almos.org.uk

Excellence in Management & Partnership: A summary for residents



A joint report from: The Councils with ALMOs Group (CWAG) The National Federation of Arm's-Length Management Organisations (NFA)

